Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That Clause 2, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clauses 3 to 9, both inclusive. The question is:

"That Clauses 3 to 9, both inclusive, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 3 to 9, both inclusive, were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 10.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, I move:

"That in item (e) of sub-clause (1) of Clause 10 and in sub-clause (2), for the words 'silkworm seed', the words 'silkworm cocoon' shall be substituted."

The explanation I have given equally applies to this.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That in item (*e*) of sub-clause (1) of Clause 10 and in sub-clause (2), for the words 'silkworm seed', the words 'silkworm cocoon' shall be substituted."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That Clause 10, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 10, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clauses 11 to 17, both inclusive. The question is:

"That Clauses 11 to 17, both inclusive, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 11 to 17, both inclusive, were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1. The question is:

"That Clause 1 stands part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1 was added to the Bill.

Mr SPEAKER.—Title and Preamble. The question is:

"That the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

The Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, I move:

"That the Mysore Silkworm Seed (Control of Distribution) Bill, 1952, as amended, be passed."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Mysore Silkworm Seed (Control of Distribution) Bill, 1952, as amended, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

The Mysore Village Panchayets and District Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1952.

Motion to consider.

Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Local Self-Government and Public Health).—Sir, I move:—

"That the Mysore Village Panchayets and District Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1952, be taken into consideration."

Before this Bill is taken up for consideration, I want to say a few words regarding this Bill. This Bill has been exhaustively discussed and was enacted into law a few months back and it has come into effect from 14th February 1952. Since in the Statement of Objects and Reasons and Notes on Clauses the Bill has been exhaustively dealt with, I do not want to go into details, but I

(SRI T. CHANNIAH).

want to say only this much. Since the term of the office of the members of the District Boards and Village Panchayets expired on 31st May 1952 and since the Assembly could not meet, we had to promulgate an ordinance just to continue the life of the District Boards and the Village Panchayets. So an ordinance was passed on 23rd May 1952 and this Bill seeks to repeal and replace it. And an opportunity also has been taken, as you could see, to amend some of these sections. There are 9 clauses here and various reasons have been given to amend these clauses. They are self-explanatory and I do not want to go into details. Since the time at our disposal is very short, I want the consideration of the Bill to be taken.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved;

"That the Mysore Village Panchayets and District Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1952 be taken into consideration."

Sri M. LINGANNA (Nanjangud).—On a point of information. All the District Development Committee funds have been transferred to the Village Panchayets and District Boards. What have you done for the District Development Committees?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—They will be abolished very shortly.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪನವರು (ಪೆರಿಯಾಪಟ್ಟಣ).—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳಿಗೆ 12½% ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಅವರು ಯಾವ ಏಜನ್ಸಿ ಮುಖೇನ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂದಾಯವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಬಾಬನ್ನೂ ನಿರ್ಧರಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಯಾವ ಕ್ವಾಜಿನ ಮೇರೆ ತಾವು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಹಾಕುತ್ತೀರೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಮೊದಲು ತಿಳಿಸಿ!

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪನವರು.—ಪೆರ್ಷ್ಯ (38) ಪೇಜು 1ರಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ಈ 12½% ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಬೋರ್ಡಿನವರ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತದೋ ಅಥವಾ ನೇರವಾಗಿಯೇ ಆ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳವರಿಗೆ ಪಾವತಿಯಾಗುತ್ತದೋ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Mysore Village Panchayets and District Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1952, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clauses 2 and 3. The question is:

"That Clauses 2 and 3 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 4.

***Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA** (Turuvekere).—My amendment is to the following effect:

"In line 5 of the proposed section 38 for the words 'from time to time determine' the words 'determine in proportion to the land revenue collected in the area' be substituted."

ಪ್ರತಿಸಾರಿಯೂ ಡಿಟರ್ಮಿನ್ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಬೇಡ. ಶೇಕಡ 12½ಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಲ ಸಲವೂ ಬದಲಾಯಿಸುವುದು ಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡ 12½ ಯಷ್ಟನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—They say it is net collection.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—I want the word 'net' to be removed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He is not serious. The question is:

"That Clause 4 stands part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Claluse 4 was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 5.

***Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—Sir, there are certain anomalies which I want to point out in the working of this Clause. I would just suggest to the Hon'ble Minister that this clause may be omitted at present and the other clauses suitably re-numbered, and amendments brought forward at a later stage. That is my proposal and if the Minister accepts, the clause may kindly be deleted.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—In that case, will not further procedure be held up?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Sir, I accept the proposal for the deletion of clause 5 as moved by Sri A. Bheemappa Naik.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Sir, this raises an important issue. The proposal which my friend has raised and which the Minister is prepared to accept confirms.....I do not know if my friend Sri A. Bheemappa Naik is very serious in pressing it.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—I am. Otherwise, I am moving an amendment to see that the House votes it down.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I draw the attention of the Hon'ble Members to a ruling given by my predecessor in connection with some other Bill.

> "We cannot move such an amendment. We can oppose the clause itself when it is put."

***Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—I am opposing that clause, Sir. If the Hon'ble Minister accepts to omit it, it is all right. Otherwise, we will oppose and vote it down. There are certain anamolies in the formation of constituencies and there are certain difficulties in the formation of groups. I hear that there have been as many as eight to nine thousand objections, after the publication of the Bill in the official gazette, about the system of grouping and the present proposal in which the grouping was made. In this connection, I want to bring to the notice of the House that this grouping was made just at a time when several Members of this Hon'ble House were busy in connection with the Legislative Assembly elections. The grouping was made in a hurry amidst all these difficulties. If the Bill is accepted as it is, it will be very difficult to make amendments later. I just suggest that the Government may omit this clause. Even if this clause is omitted, there will not be any difficulty. The Ordinance which would expire will be set right now by accepting the other clauses. This will just give them power. It will be easy for Government later on to bring in necessary amendments in the matter either of formation of groups or enfranchising the constituency or delimiting the constituency and things of that sort. Therefore I suggest that this clause may kindly be accepted as being omitted. If it is not accepted, we will vote it down.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I am sorry that the Government have accepted the suggestion for the deletion of the clause. We are opposing this move, Sir. I am sorry such a controversy has arisen just before we disperse. Sir, the District Boards and Village Panchayets Act was adopted after careful consideration and not in a hurry as was pointed out by Sri A. Bheemappa Naik and not at a time when we were busy with elections. Let him go back to the history of this Bill. A Committee was appointed with members of this House and with Sri V. Venkatappa as Chairman. They considered the various aspects of the working of the Local Bodies Acts. They gave a report to this House, one of the recommendations of which was that the members of the District Boards should be elected indirectly from the members of the Panchayet who will be elected through adult franchise. The Bill came up before this House and was passed. The present District Boards and Village Panchayets Act was passed by the Assembly with a Congress majority after careful consideration. It came up before the Assembly thrice. It came up first in December Session of 1950 and it was passed in the April Session of 1951. It was passed and became law in 1951. Various Deputy Commissioners were asked to delimit Panchayet Constituencies and an *ad hoc* committee was appointed for each district. I was also a member of the *ad hoc* committee of Chitaldrug District. We met several times and we have parctically finished our work. We have divided the various Panchayets.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home affairs and Agriculture).—How many times have you met?

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Three or four times. The practical proposals are over and they are before the Government. There may be some objections for regrouping or changing

(JANAB J. MOHAMED IMAM).

one village from one group to another. But that is not very serious. When we all thought that the new Village Panchayets Act was going to be introduced, suddenly we find this somersault from members of the House. I had some such misgivings. There was some whisper in the public that the majority group is not satisfied with the present electoral arrangements, with the present system of elections. Anyhow I have heard that it has become a party question and it seems that the present members do not like the idea of election through Panchayet members. They want to undo the whole thing that was done after careful consideration and thought for two years. I do not know why this sudden change has come in now.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Elections will be.....

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Bring a new Bill. The whole Village Panchayets and District Boards Bill has received the approval of this Assembly. Before you act upon it, you want to change it! This is a most important thing. According to the present Bill, members of the District Board have to be elected by the members of the Panchayets. Why are the Government changing it like this? It is not such an easy matter.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—To facilitate early election.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—This is nothing but a child's play, I must call it.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—We are all adults.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Even before the previous Bill is put into effect and before it is tried, Government want to change the fundamental principle and particular clause is deleted. All the labours for the last two years are gone. It is an insult to the old Assembly and to the committee which recommended these things. For reasons best known to you, you want to change. If that particular clause is deleted you will be nullifying the Village Panchayets and District Boards Act completely. What is the object of deleting it? This I must call as hide and seek policy. Take us into confidence and place all the facts before us and let us know what are the difficulties. Have you come across with any difficulty? You want to take us by surprise. This is not a compliment either to you or to your policy. I vehemently oppose the deletion of the clause. If you do so there will be serious repercussions.

(The Member resumed his seat).

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member should not plead want of time afterwards. He may say what he wants.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I have said what I felt.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡರು (ತುರುವೇಕೆರೆ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲು ಕಳೆದ 2 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಬರುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆ. ಹೋದ ವರ್ಷ ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ಬೈ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಕೊನೆಗೆ 55ನೇ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಉಳಿಸಿದರು. ಬಾಕಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್‌ಗಳೂ ಪಾಸ್ ಆದವು. ಅದು ಒಂದು ಕ್ಲಾಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷ ಮುಂದೆ ತಳ್ಳಿದರು. ಇದರ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಪಾಸ್ ಮಾಡಕೂಡದು ಎಂಬುದೇ ಆಗಿರಬಹುದು. ಕೊನೆಗೆ ಆ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಸ್ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಬೇಡ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಕಿದರು. ಈಗ 51ನೇ ಕ್ಲಾಸನ್ನೇ ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಂದಿರುವುದು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಬಹಳ ಆಶ್ಚರ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಈ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟುಬಿಟ್ಟರೆ ಈ ಬಿಲ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನು ಏನಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

> "The constituencies into which each District shall be divided for the purpose of elections to the District Board of that District."

ಎಂದರೆ ಜುನಾವಣೆ ಈಗ ಆಗಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಏನೆಂದರೆ, ಮುಂದೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು, ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ಗೆ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷ ಜುನಾವಣೆ ಇರಬೇಕೇ, ಅ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷ ಜುನಾವಣೆ ಇರಬೇಕೇ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಹಿಂದೆಯೇ ಈ ಕಾನೂನು ಬಂದಿದ್ದಾಗ್ಗೆ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಇತ್ತು, ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ತರಬಹುದಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅದನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಬಿಟ್ಟು, ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳು ಹೇಗೆ ಗ್ರೂಪ್ ಆಗುತ್ತವೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಡೀಲಿಮಿಟೇಷ್ಷನ್‌ಗೆ ಕಾದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು ಎಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಯಾವ ಉದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗದಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ, ಒಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದೆ ಯಾವ ತರಹ ಡೀಲಿಮಿಟೇಷ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಸರಿಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಹೋಬಳಿವಾರು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೇ, ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುವಾರು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೇ, ಸಬ್‌ಡಿವಿಜಿಷ್ಟವಾರು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೇ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸಂದರ್ಭಾನುಸಾರ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಯಾವ ಕಡೆ ಮೆಜಾರಿಟಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬು

ದನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಿ ಸ್ವಾರ್ಥತೆಯಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ತಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಈ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಿ, ಮುಂದಿನ ಸೆಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ತನ್ನಿ, ನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈಗ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಜುನಾವಣೆ ಮುಂದೆ ಹೋಗಬಹುದು, ಸೀಟ್ ಅರೇಂಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಕೂಡದು. ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳ ಗ್ರೂಪಿಂಗ್‌ಗೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಸಾರಿ ಸಭೆ ಸೇರಿ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಒಂದೊಂದು ಸಲಕ್ಕೂ ಒಂದೊಂದು ಪ್ಲಾನ್ ಹಾಕಿಕೊಂಡರು. ಒಂದು ಸಾರಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುವಾರು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದರು. ಒಂದು ಸಾರಿ ಹೋಬಳಿವಾರ್ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದರು, ಒಂದು ಸಾರಿ ಸಬ್ ಡಿವಿಜನ್‌ವಾರು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದರು. ನಮ್ಮ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಆಗಿದೆ ಎಂದರೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುವಾರು ಜನರಲ್ ಸೀಟ್ಸು, ಸಬ್‌ಡಿವಿಜನ್‌ವಾರು ರಿಸರ್ವ್ ಸೀಟ್ಸು. ಹೀಗೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಿದರೂ ಒಂದಕ್ಕೊಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಂದುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಒಬ್ಬರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾದರೆ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಸಬ್‌ಡಿವಿಜನ್‌ನವರಿಗೆ ಅನಾನುಕೂಲ. ಹೀಗೆ ಅವರ್‌ಲ್ಲೇ ತಿಕ್ಕಾಟ ಬಂದು ಮುಂದೆ ಇದು ಕಾನೂನಾಗಿ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಿ ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟ್ ಎಲೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದರು. 17 ಜನ ಸದಸ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನೊಬ್ಬ ಡಿಸೆಂಟಿಂಗ್ ಓಟು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟ್ ಎಲೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾದರೆ, 16 ಜನ ಅದನ್ನು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಅವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಏನಿರಬಹುದು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆಮಾಡಬಹುದು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಖಂಡಿತವಾಗಿಯೂ ಇದನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಭಟಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಒಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುವುದಾದರೆ, ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಭೀಮಪ್ಪನಾಯಕರು ಹೇಳಿದಮೊದಲನೇ ಮಾತೇ ನಮಗೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಓಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಕಿ ಬಿಡಿ ಎಂದು ಜೋರಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಭೀಮಪ್ಪನಾಯಕರು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಓಟಿನ ಬಲ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಮಾಡುವುದಾದರೆ ನಾವೇಕೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಇರಬೇಕು? ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ. ನಾವು ಹೊರಟು ಹೋಗುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಈಗ ಇರುವುದು ಅದೊಂದೇ ಬಾಕಿ. ಈ ರೀತಿ ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ದ್ರೋಹ ಮಾಡಿ ಕಾನೂನು ರಚಿಸುವುದಾದರೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಸ್ವಾರ್ಥತೆಯಿಂದ ಕಾನೂನುಗಳನ್ನು ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು. ಆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿದೆ ತಮಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಖಂಡಿತವಾಗಿಯೂ ಈ ಡಿಬೇರ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಮ್ಮಿಂದ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮಗೇನೂ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾವು ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರಿಗಾದರೂ ಜವಾಬು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾದರೆ ನಾವು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಇಷ್ಟೆ. ಅವರು ಬೇಕಾದ ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಬಹುದು, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾರದೂ ಅಡ್ಡಿ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಓಟಿನ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಾವುದೂ ಪಾಸ್ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ನಾವು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆದರೆ ನ್ಯಾಯದ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ದೇಶದ ಹಿತ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಿ ಎಂದು ಇನ್ನೂ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ನಾವು ಭಾವಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡುತ್ತೇವೆಯೇ ಹೊರತು, ಅಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಪರವಾಗಿಯೇ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ನಾವು ಭಾವನೆ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಮೊಟ್ಟಮೊದಲೇ ಓಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಕಿಬಿಡಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಖಂಡಿತವಾಗಿಯೂ ಇನ್ನೇನೂ ಹೇಳುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಾರಸ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ, ಪುರುಷಾರ್ಥವಿಲ್ಲ ಅವರೇ ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಲಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ನಾವು ಹೊರಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗಣ್ಣನವರು (ನಂಜನಗೂಡು).—ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರು ಅವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಮ್ಮ mighty friend ಭೀಮಪ್ಪ ನಾಯಕರು ಒಂದು ಕ್ಲಾಸ್‌ನ್ನೇ ಡಿಲೀಟ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಸೂಕ್ಷ್ಮವಾಗಿ ಆ ಕ್ಲಾಸನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡರೆ ಅದರ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತೊಂದರೆ ಆದೀತು ಎಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಲೀಟ್‌ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಏಕೆ ಡಿಲೀಟ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು, ಉದ್ದೇಶವೇನಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ವಿಶದೀಕರಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಆ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ವಿರೋಧವನ್ನು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಾನು ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯ ಹೇಳಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಡಿಲೀಟ್‌ಮಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಯಾವ ತತ್ವ ಪಾಲಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಿತ್ತೋ ಅದನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸದೇ ಒಂದು ಹೆಜ್ಜೆ ಹಿಂದೆ ಇಟ್ಟಹಾಗಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪನವರ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ರಚನೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದ ಸಮಿತಿಯೊಳಗೆ ನಾನೂ ಒಬ್ಬ ಸದಸ್ಯನಾಗಿದ್ದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ವಿಲೇಜ್ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನೂ ಕೂಲಂಕಷವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಒಂದು ವರದಿ ತಯಾರಿಸಿದ್ದೆವು. ಆ ವರದಿಯ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಈ ವಿಲೇಜ್ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ತಯಾರು ಮಾಡಿ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಸಭೆಯ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗೆ ತಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

6 P.M.

ಈಗ ನನಗೇನೆನ್ನಿಸುತ್ತದೆಂದರೆ, ಈ ರೀತಿ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳು ಗ್ರೂಪುಗಳಾಗಿ ವಿಂಗಡಣೆಯಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಗೆಜೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಫಾಯಿಷ್ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಸಹಸ್ರಾರು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಅವನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಿ, ಹೇಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಎಂದೇ ಅಥವಾ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಒಂದೊಕ್ಕೊಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನೇಕ ತಿಕ್ಕಾಟ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದೇ ಇರಬಹುದು. ಅವರೆ ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಳ್ಳಿ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗೂ ಒಂದು ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯಿದ್ದರೆ, ಒಬ್ಬರೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಒಂದೊಂದು ಕೋಮಿಗೆ ತಿಕ್ಕಾಟ ಬಂದು ಕಲುಷಿತ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯುಂಟಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲು 3-4 ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿ ಒಂದು ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವರವರಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಕ್ಕಾಟ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಹಳ್ಳಿಹಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಹೈಫೋಟ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಂತರಂಗಿಕವಾಗಿ ಒಬ್ಬರಿಗೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೆ ಅಭಿಮಾನ ಬೆಳೆಯುತ್ತದೆ. ಆ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಗ್ರೂಪ್ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದೇ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು.

ಒಬ್ಬ ಸದಸ್ಯರು.—ಆದರೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟ!

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗಣ್ಣನವರು. - ಕಷ್ಟವಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಆದರೂ ಅದನ್ನು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಹೀಗೆ ಕ್ಲಾಜನ್ನೇ ತೆಗೆದುಬಿಡಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನೆಂದು ಸೂಕ್ತ ವಿವರಣೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು. ಅದಾಗದೆ ಹೋದರೆ you can as well stop this Bill itself.

Sri A. BEEMAPPA NAIK.—If you permit me, I will explain in detail. I have no objection to explain.

Mr SPEAKER.—Let him finish.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗಣ್ಣನವರು.—ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಇದನ್ನು ವಿರೋಧಿಸಿದ್ದು ಒಂದು ಸಮ್ಪ್ರದಾಯವನ್ನು, ಒಂದು ಸದುದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಂದು. ಒಂದು ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿಟ್ಟು, ಮಧ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಏಕಾಏಕಿ ಒಂದು ಕ್ಲಾಜನ್ನು delete ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯವಲ್ಲ. ಆದರಿಂದ ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದೇ ಆಗುತ್ತಿರಬಹುದು ಅಥವಾ ಕೆಟ್ಟದ್ದೇ ಆಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದಬಹುದು; ಆದರೆ ಇದನ್ನೇನಿದ್ದರೂ ಒಂದು ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯದ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ನೋಡಬೇಕು. ನಿಮಗೆ ಈ ಮಸೂದೆ ಇಷ್ಟವಿಲ್ಲವಿದ್ದರೆ let the whole Bill be dropped and the question be tackled by means of another ordinance.

***Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—I wish to explain like this. There is the Planning Commission Draft Report before the House which envisages a village as a unit. The previous Bill which was framed before this report was drafted, comes into conflict with the ideal envisaged there. Therefore, some members felt that it was necessary that it should be in conformity with the Planning Commission Report Hence, it was felt that it must be suitably amended so as to bring it in line with the Planning Commission Report. This was actually thought of after studying the report and feeling that the present Bill was in conflict with it. The Government would have dropped it altogether, but only six weeks ago extension was given to the District Boards, and if Government do not take power by bringing forward a formal Bill, the District Boards extension cannot stand. Therefore, it was also necessary that the extension should be continued by a Bill. But it did not mean that Government will not bring a proper amendment before the House.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—Without the Assembly?

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—They may call a session tomorrow, even after a week they may call for a special session and bring the necessary amendments before the House. Even clause 9, I have proposed for deletion. I am also proposing to delete clause 5. The Planning Commission's official Report is awaited within a week. They have also said it will be finalised and final report as is envisaged by the Planning Commission will be before the House also. The Government will consider the Planning Commission Report and our Bill. It is only to extend the present term of the District Board that certain clauses of this Bill are necessary.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಪ್ಲಾನಿಂಗ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ನಿನವರ ವರದಿಯೇನಾದರೂ ನಮ್ಮ ಮೇಲೆ binding ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೇ? ಹಾಗಾದರೆ, ಅವರು ಒಂದೊಂದು ಸಾರಿಯೂ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ಹಾಗೆ, ನಾವೂ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡುವುದೇ?

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—It is a Planning Commission, and the 5 year plan will be according to the Plan of the Planning Commission.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—May I bring to the notice of the Hon'ble Member that the All India Project is perhaps to embrace group of villages which contain a population between 2,000 and 5000?

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—I might also bring to the notice of the Hon'ble member, there will be village as a unit but more than 10 villages will be put into a group. But this is neither this nor that Therefore I am suggestihg here in this case there will be 3 or 4 villages. I might tell you there are Panchayets and Sirpanch, as they call it in the Planning Commission Report. The Chairman of every Village Panchayet will be a member of the group of villages. Whereas that is not envisaged here. There is no Panchayet for any village. It is only for group of villages there is a Panchayet. Whereas in the Planning Commission Report there is a Panchayet in each village performing its own work and for coordination, there will be a group of villages, where the Chairman of each Village Panchayet who is called a Sirpanch, will be a member of the group. There are so many things which Government will have to consider and then bring a bill if necessary. Let them call for a special session if necessary. But, merely because we had passed a Bill, merely because it took so many days, why should we not wait for some more days? It is only to see that the term of the District Boards is extended that this Biil is proposed to be passed.

When the Assembly is sitting, Government should bring it before the House for extension. We have only suggested that this legislation be passed and a portion be deleted and later on let the Government bring proper Bill considering all aspects for the question. In this connection I may just suggest to the members that except for elections there is no connection between the Village Panchayets and the District Boards. The Village Panchayets are only the electoral college.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—Do you mean to say that the group of villages will be retained and the method of election to the District Boards will be changed? The Planning Commission wants group of villages to be retained.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—Were you not alive to it at that time?

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Because Planning Commission was not there, nobody could ever imagine what was in the womb of the future. The Planning Commission was working in the interest of the whole of India and it finally drafted a report; that neither Sri Hutche Gowda nor Bheemappa Naik could ever dream of. Now it has been an actuality. If we simply say, pass this Bill and later on let amendments be brought forward, it would not be correct.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—Contradicting himself.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Because you cannot understand, you say I am contradicting myself.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let not the member be disturbed.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—I will not yield for mere disturbances. If, in the interest of the District Boards, it is necessary to have direct election, and single member constituency has to be formed, and if election has to take place on that basis, let the Government think of it and bring such a measere before the House.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—*interrupted.*

I am not yielding. It is not a point of order, it is a disturbance; that is all (laughter). If in the insterests of the country it is necessary that a direct election was considered desirable and to form single member constituencies and if District Board representatives are elected on adult franchise basis, nobody would be happier than Mr. Hutche Gowda who has also had the fortune of winning in a bigger constituency than a taluk.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—But I was stoutly opposed by you.

***Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—You will have a crown on your head. You will say "I was the only person who stated this," if the Government accepts your view. Then you will say I was the only wisest man in the country. (Laughter.) Therefore Sir, there is no meaning in simply opposing for the sake of opposition. If it is done arbitrarily without considering the two points of view, if it is done neglecting the views of all, then you can oppose. In the circumstances there is nothing wrong in legislating for the extension. Therefore Sir, I am only suggesting the deletion of these clauses 5 and 9. I have argued some of the points relating to clause 5 and it applies equally to clause 9 also.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—Sir, as already submitted by my Hon'ble Friend Sri A. Bheemappa Naik, the publication of the Planning Commission Report, and the directions of the Central Government in this behalf are very clear. Non-reconciliation with these sections of the Bill will simply postpone the constitution of the District Boards. What the Government wants to submit is, it is better that this aspect is fully considered and in consultation with all the Members, the principle should be further considered and put before the House. Sri B. Hutche Gowda, suggested that kind of procedure at the earliest opportunity and now that proposal stands a chance of further consideration. So it is a compliment to Sri Hutche Gowda that he had foreseen the state of affairs so

(SRI A G. RAMACHANDRA RAO).

well. And therefore I submit Sir, that the motion of Sri A. Bheemappa Naik may be accepted by the House and we can proceed with it leisurely without detriment to any interest.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Sir, I feel it my duty.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That Clause 5 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 6, The question is :

"That Clause 6 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 6 was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 7.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Sir, we do not take part in the further proceedings of the House as a protest against the Government policy in being so inconsistent and in treating this matter so lightly. But I do not mean any disrespect to the Ministers or to the Chair. It is our duty to protest and we are not taking further part in the proceedings.

(*At this stage (6.15) The Members of the Opposition headed by Janab J. Mahomed Imam, walked out of the Hall.*)

Mr. SPEAKER.—No amendments are proposed by Sri B. Hutche Gowda. Therefore, I put the clauses. The question is :

"That Clauses 7 and 8 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 7 and 8 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 9.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—I have already given my reasons for deleting clause 9. The same reasons that applied to clause 5 hold good here also.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ವಂರಿಯಪ್ಪನವರು.— ಗ್ರೂಪ್ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಮ್ಮ ಪಕ್ಷದ ವರೇ ತಂದಿದ್ದರು, ಆಗ ಅದನ್ನು ನಾವು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೆವು..... .....

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡರು ಹೇಳಿದರು.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Sir, I accept the deletion of clause 9.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That Clause 9 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 10. The question is :

"That Clause 10 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 10 was added to the Bill."

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—These clauses should be renumbered Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes. That will be done by the Office. Now, Clause 1. The question is :

"That Clause 1 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1 was added to the Bill."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Title and Preamble. The question is :

"That the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

The Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Sir, I move:

"That the Mysore Village Panchayets and District Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1952, as amended, be passed."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Mysore Village Panchayets and District Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1952, as amended, be passed.

The motion was adopted,

Mr. SPEAKER.—The House now stands adjourned *sine die.*

The Assembly adjourned sine die at Seventeen Minutes past Six of the Clock on Wednesday the 16th July 1952.